What do you think?

Strongly disagree

I am happy with how my current role is in the EIPM

3.1

Strongly agree

34

106

What do you think?

Strongly disagree — The main challenge of EIPM is that it is challenging to understand each other since we speak different languages — **3.4** — Strongly agree

What do you think?

Policy makers should listen more to scientists rather than to industry or citizens when it comes to policy making

3.1

Strongly disagree — Strongly agree

Macroscopic views on the EIPM ecosystem

26 questions
21 upvotes

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Interactions should be an ongoing conversation!

He is funny

Academics would do anything for a free meal ;)

Pluridciplinatity can work but it needs to be taught more

Students need to know how to use multidisciplinary teaching

MultiD is good, but it can be difficult to actually deliver it.

Integration

Interdisciplinarity can help to build trust in science

9

53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Interdisciplinarity rocks !

SSH can help us find concensus

very valuable!

Engagement in poliy relevant issues stimulates intedisciplinary approaches

Diversity and complementarity of methods bring more confident results and therefore also trust

Interdisciplinarity is not cherry-picking

Useful strategies to improve interdisciplinary research and evaluation

Need to reasses our methods that we take for granted when talking in silos

9

53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

The 4 strategies to achieve integration	You need multidisciplinarity experts in order to implement multidisciplinarity	Integration requires creativity	Complementarity/contesting theories&methods
We need more focus on interdisciplinary education in Europe.	Need for diversity and pluralism	Interdisciplinary research is a way forward and it will help us to gain the interest of the public.	Illuminating, informative

9   53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Different perspective approaches and views

We need some flexibility in our methods to connect with knowledge

Interdisciplinary research complements disciplinary research

Even if researchers from different disciplines cant speak to each other from the start, there are strategies how you can engage them to start talking to each other

Interdisciplinarity is difficult but possible.Interdisciplinary is important to reinforce trust in sciences.

Interdisciplinarity is not easy, but worthwhile things are never easy!

Open mindef, avoid being too narrow..... way to bulld triust!

Dare to use alternstive merhods using interdisciplinsary for learning more about complex issues

9   53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Interdisciplinarity is inspirational for policy making rather than a constraint

Interdisciplinarity offers more complex insightsEvaluation complements disciplinary evaluation Ongoing conversations

researh on interdisciplinary research can help distinguish „good interdisciplinary research"; interdisciplinary methods, data are more convincing in new policies

Interdisciplinarity is an oxymoron

1) need to teach interdisciplinary analysis to avoid just cherry picking ; 2) need to be aware + have a strategy as criteria for evaluating interdisciplinary 3) Global South actually more advanced

Place divergent insights on a continuum!

Multidisciplinary investigation becomes a research field on its own.

There is no point in putting different disciplies into a room without facilitation!

9

53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Interdisciplinary evaluation (analysis) complements disciplinary (analysis)Interdisciplinarity is important for checking disciplinary assumptions

Integration

More interdisciplinarity in an integrated manner, regular meetings btw policymakers and scientists.

Interesting note about interdisciplinary evaluation

Interdisciplinarity prepares for deciding under uncertainty.

Enhanced credibility of 'evidence' produced by interdisciplinary research (because of the plurality of methods and datasets) for policymakers

Interdisciplinarity requires specific skills and creativity

I am a big supporter of interdisciplinary research and this gave me some good new arguments (guess I need to buy the book)

9

53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Triangulation between disciplines for more trustworthy evidence

The opportunistic link between theories and methods within disciplines

Multidisciplinary is highly needed, it does not fit into classic research slots

Europe is the least interdisciplinary continent!

Passing both a disciplinary and interdisciplinary evaluation is a gold standard for policy impact in research.

Interpowerpointy is diffult

I will learn more about science of interdisciplinary science as soon as i can

Integrative scholars help pave the way.

9   53

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Interdisciplinary Research methods need to be tought

Interdisciplinarity tend to focus on tendencies of change, therefore better suited for policy making

Why should we be more « exigeant » towards interdisciplinary engagement than non interdisciplinary!

We should increase knowledge of research on interdisciplinarity

We should start working with imperfect data from all disciplines

We need considering more emotional processes

Research needs to change

STEM with social science skills

What do you take from this keynote presentation?

Long traditions in Wester-European universities created too many disciplines in silos.

What solutions do you propose?

Ssah should go on strike until they can be heard properly

Redesign from scratch the investment in research

Tinder for scientists

Tinder for scientists and policymakers

Take students seriously and involve them in support functions

A tv show with multiple seasons to convey and show how great SSH can be in policymaking in a manner that is attractive to people

Limit scientific publications to max. 1 per annum

Exploit the techniques misinformation actors use to spread there ideas on social media as well to counteract!

3

22

What solutions do you propose?

Researchers need to be a lot more open and reflective about their biases

Researchers and policymakers should exchange roles for a week

Communicate better about success stories and successful initiatives

Blow up social media platforms used for self promotion

Increase our "handprint", rather than our footprint

Allow for longer processes and more flexible deadlines without removing expectations

Stop complaining to come from the ssah sphere, just be self-confident

Reduce bureaucracy!

3

22

What solutions do you propose?

Build up the self-confidence of SSAH scientists to belive they are the most important, and so they will express their opinions more openly

S4P ecosystem is not complete without industry and businesses. Bring them on board!

The challenge is not meetings but what happens after

Policymakers must cite their sources in policy papers and decision making documents. Scientists then can track and be rewarded for their contributions

Consider that the diversity of approaches in the SSAH is a richness for policymaking (diversity of views on the same reality)

Mandatory minimum amount of sleep for innovative dreams

Every political proposition should include a scientific assessement.

Reward useful PhD theses

What solutions do you propose?

Consider SSAH integration as a priority in research policies

Internship for young scientists, PhD students to join policymakers for a clearly defined interdisciplinary project (few months)

Move away from a mindset in a society of abundance

Switch roles for x months between researchers and policymakers

No more traditional academic faculties but mission oriented aca demic faculties

Pop the scientific bubbles, knock down ivory towers

Make the scientists' voices heard in all policy contexts

Fight against the symbolic hierarchy of disciplines (STEM being considered as more trustworthy research than SSAH)

What solutions do you propose?

Translational science urgently needed ...

Platforms for community building and long term dialogue between politicians, policy-makers, researchers and citizens.

Stop including SSAH into STEM science knowledge production but rather co-create knowledge

Stop pretending that technological innovations exist & occur outside of society, rather than being a part of human society, & reinnovate the system & how to more democratically know what should be researched

Incentives in universities/research instutiins for researchers to engage in a dialogue with politics

Ethic behavior in the lab environment (towards students and colleagues)

Pay researchers

Scientific Social Service (with public contracts)

3

22

What solutions do you propose?

Intro a module to SSH curricula at univ: using research results/impact metrics for policy, projects, interdisciplinary collab

Organize teambuilding/moderated discussions with policymakers, researchers and social partners regularly, at regional/national level.

Organize future conferences as hypothesis testing events - fewer presentations and requests for 10 minute solutions, more hands on testing and concern for the conference building something different

Learn from social media use by fake news/conspiracy theory mongerers and spread correct informationd

Make some civil servants do a PhD

Stop producing so much written work - require communication to take place through other media and senses - eg through music, theatre, drawing, cooking,

Make consumers and politicians aware of the impact of their decisions making information easily accesdible and findable

It's not about the reports we all produce, but about how do we communicate research needs, findings, gaps and applications for policy.

3   22

this conference in 3 words

118 responses