FEDERAL SCIENCE
POLICY

WTC III - Boulevard Simon Bolivar 30 bte 7
B-1000 BRUSSELS
Tél. 02 238 34 11 ▪ Fax 02 230 59 12
www.belspo.be

Flash project

STUDY ON [Title of project]

ACRONYM: [Acronym of Project]

EVALUATION REPORT: [name applicant]

1. SCIENCE DIMENSION

1.1. Knowledge of the state of the art and suggested pathway to reviewing the state of the art

Does the proposal provide an accurate overview of the state of the art and a pathway to analyse this further?

Insufficient	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent
Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	*The proposal has overlooked the essential scientific state of the art in the domain*	*The proposal has important flaws regarding the state of the art and the pathway to studying is weak*	*The proposal demonstrates an average knowledge of the state of the art in the domain, without critical omissions. The pathway to studying the state of the art is reasonable*	*The proposal shows a good view of the state of the art in the domain, omissions are superfluous or minimal, and the pathway to gaining insights in this state of the art is good*	*The proposal shows an excellent knowledge of the state of the art in the domain and offers a n excellent pathway to gaining an exhaustive knowledge in the state of the art*
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

comment:

1.2. Methodological approach

Evaluate the approach undertaken to achieve the results of the Project.
Is the proposal clear, well-structured, with a sound methodological approach? What is the degree of feasibility of the proposal?

Insufficient	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent
Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	*The approach is unclear and/or inappropriate to handle the objectives, achieve the results and/or supply the deliverables, timeliness is poor*	*The approach is underdeveloped and/or poorly argued, it does not provide a clear-cut response to the objectives of the Project*	*The approach to the topic is acceptable, and provides reasonable pathways to the stated objectives (deliverables, integration of results and/or timeliness)*	*The approach is more than appropriate and provides adequate pathways to realize the objectives of the Project*	*The project ensures excellent pathways to realize the objectives, the approach is novel, ground-breaking and forward looking, and could even go beyond the Project*
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments:

1.3. Capacity of the team

Evaluate the capacity and adequacy of the research team to carry out the project in due time.

Insufficient	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent

Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	*The capacity of the research team is unclear and/or inappropriate to handle the objectives of the Project*	*The research team has very few references in the field of the Project, too outdated or not convincing enough to fulfil the Project*	*The research team has acceptable expertise in the field, and provides reasonable insurance that there will be enough HR to fulfil the Project*	*The research team has good expertise in the field, great Principal Investigator and researchers*	*The research team has outstanding recent and international-level expertise in the field*
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments:

2.FINANCIAL DIMENSION

Is the budget realistic and in line with the objectives and expected outcomes of the project?
Are the human resources involved in the project adequate?

Insufficient	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent
Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	*The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or expected outcomes*	*The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives and/or expected outcomes*	*The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes of the project*	*The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes of the project*	*The budget is extremely well-thought and optimized. It perfectly estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account the post-project. It perfectly aligns with the objectives and expected outcomes of the project*
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments:

3. FINAL ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

How is the proposal positioned in terms of methodology, adequacy of resources (incl. budget) and capacity of the team to achieve the study (Please, do not make any reference to potential other projects submitted in this call)

Recommendations: which recommendations should enable this proposal to be improved (if necessary) without additional budget?